Interaction of Tobacco 21 and Taxation Policies on Youth Cigarette Smoking Outcomes

James H. Buszkiewicz, Yanmei Xie, Anne Cohen, David C. Colston, Megan E. Patrick, Nancy L. Fleischer

> Department of Epidemiology University of Michigan School of Public Health

> > 2023 SRNT Annual Meeting March 2nd, 2023

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Linkersity Linkersity Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Lin



Disclosures

- Research reported in this presentation was supported by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) (Award # R37 CA214787) and the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA) (Award # R01 DA001411) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH).
- The content of this presentation is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NCI or the NIDA of the NIH.
- We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.



BACKGROUND
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michigan & Georgetown University Inversity ICRASTOR



Motivation

- Of adults 25 years or older who smoke cigarettes daily, 90% began smoking before the age of 18
- Most studies separately evaluating T21 and cigarette tax laws find a reduction in youth smoking outcomes
- Although these laws often exist in tandem, no studies have examined whether they jointly have an impact on youth smoking outcomes

Sources: US Department of Health and Human Services; Ando, et al. (2003), Dove (2021), Colston (2022), Wilhelm (2022), Abouk (2021), Schneider (2019), Friedman (2019), Friedman and Wu (2020), Grube (2021), Fleischer (2020), Cavazos-Rehg (2016), Choi (2011), Huang and Chaloupka (2012), Emery (2001), Farrelly (2013), Parks (2020)



BACKGROUND
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michigan & Georgetown University Inversity ICRASTOR



Objectives

- To understand the interaction between Tobacco 21 and cigarette tax laws and their association with youth smoking prevalence, initiation, and intentions
- To examine sociodemographic disparities in these associations by sex, race and ethnicity, parental education, and college educational expectations



BACKGROUND • METHODS • RESULTS • DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University University Linkersity Linkersity Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Lin

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Study design and sample population

- Monitoring the Future, 2014-2020
 - Nationally-representative of US youth
 - Restricted access
 - Cross-sectional
- Youth and adolescents in 8th, 10th, and 12th grade



Sources: Miech (2023)

BACKGROUND • METHODS • RESULTS • DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Linkersity Linkersity Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Lin



Exposures

- T21 laws: Binary, county coverage (100%, <100%)
 - #of individuals covered by local, county, or state law
 - overall county population
- Cigarette taxes: Continuous 2020 US dollars
 - CDC's Tax Burden on Tobacco database
 - Combined state and federal tax per pack
 - Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator
- Primary estimate of interest was their interaction

Sources: Health Equity, Law, & Policy in Epidemiologic Research Tobacco 21 Population Coverage Database (2022); Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Tax Burden database (2021)

BACKGROUND METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Linkersity Linkersity Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Lin

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH





Outcomes

Outcome	Definition	Sample included
Past 30-day smoking	Did vs. did not smoke cigarettes	Full
First smoking initiation	Smoked first cigarette in the current grade v. never smoked cigarettes	Had not initiated prior to the current grade
Daily smoking initiation	Started smoking daily in the current grade vs. never smoked daily	Had not initiated daily smoking prior to the current grade
Smoking intention	Intent to smoke in the next 5 years vs. definitely will not smoke	Never smoked

Sources: Miech (2023)

BACKGROUND
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michigan & Georgetown University Iniversity Ecorgetown University Center for the Assessment of Tobacco Regulations [CAsTOR]

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Sociodemographic factors

- Sex:
 - Female, male
- Race and ethnicity:
 - NH White, NH Black, Hispanic, another race or ethnicity
- Parental education:
 - \leq high school, some college, \geq college
- College educational expectations (Grade 12 only):
 - Definitely won't/probably won't, probably will, definitely will

NH = non-Hispanic Sources: Miech (2023)



BACKGROUND
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University University Linker for the Assessment of Tobacco Regulations [CAsToR]

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Statistical analysis

- Grade-stratified, modified Poisson regression
 - Triple interactions for sociodemographic factors
 - Adjusted for individual and area-level confounding
 - <u>Individual-level</u>: Household living arrangement, mother's employment, and high school program
 - <u>Area-level</u>: Census region, smoke-free law coverage, county poverty, county % non-Hispanic Black, county % Hispanic, and county % college grad (25+)
- Sequential regression multiple imputation analysis



Sources: Miech (2023); US Census Bureau's American Community Survey (5-year estimates); American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation Tobacco Control Laws Database

BACKGROUND • METHODS • RESULTS • DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University University Linkersity Linkersity Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Lin

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Associations between a \$1 increase in cigarette tax in counties with 100% vs. <100% T21 coverage and youth smoking outcomes, MTF, 2014-2020



Average Marginal Effect

MTF = Monitoring the Future

BACKGROUND METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 University of Michigan & Georgetown University University

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



9

Among 8th graders, \$1 increase in cigarette taxes was associated with a

probability of smoking participation in counties with 100% vs. <100% T21

Preferences no association observed in

0.6 percentage point higher

Also other smoking outcomes or infigage inciderate taxee was associated with a 0.4 percentage

point higher probability of first

100% vs. <100% T21 coverage

cigarette initiation in counties with

No evidence of sociodemographic differences

- In triple interaction models, we found <u>no evidence</u> of differential associations between the interaction of T21 and cigarette tax laws...
 - and **any** of the youth smoking outcomes we examined
 - by <u>gender</u>, <u>race and ethnicity</u>, <u>parental education</u>, or <u>college-going expectations</u>;
 - further, this pattern was **<u>consistent</u>** across <u>**all grades**</u>
- Sensitivity analyses using complete case analyses were consistent with main findings



BACKGROUND
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michigan & Georgetown University Inversity ICRASTOR

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Conclusions

- We found evidence an interaction between cigarette taxes and county-level T21 coverage for youth smoking participation and first cigarette initiation in 8th graders
- We found no evidence of interactions in any other smoking outcomes or grades
- We also found no evidence of differential associations by sociodemographic factors



BACKGROUND
METHODS
RESULTS
DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University University Linkersity Linkersity Michiga & Georgetown University Linkersity Lin

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Strengths and limitations

Strengths	Limitations
Nationally-representative	Cross-sectional
First study to examine the T21/cigarette tax interaction on youth smoking outcomes	Unable to account for local enforcement of T21 laws
Investigated differences across sociodemographic factors	Limited sample size required aggregation of groups underrepresented in the survey



BACKGROUND METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michigan & Georgetown University [CAsTOR]

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Implications for future tobacco control policies

- We found limited evidence that higher taxes may be less effective (or less prevalent) in areas with 100% T21 law coverage
- More work is needed to understand...
 - how these policies, and T21 enforcement, may interact particularly since the passage of the federal T21 law and
 - to what extent these policies can be used as tools to reduce racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in youth smoking



BACKGROUND METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION

TCORS 2.0 Center for the University of Michiga & Georgetown University University Linker for the Assessment of Tobacco Regulations [CAsToR]

HELPER HEALTH EQUITY, LAW, & POLICY IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC RESEARCH



Thank you

James Buszkiewicz, PhD MPH

Research Investigator Center for Social Epidemiology and Population Health Department of Epidemiology School of Public Health University of Michigan buszkiew@umich.edu



TCORS 2.0 **C**enter for the Assessment of Tobacco University of Michigan & **R**egulations Georaetown [CAsToR]

University

