

March 12-15 at the Hilton New Orleans Riverside

Symposium 18

Transitions Into and Out of Dual Use of Combustible and E-Cigarettes: Implications for Public Health

Andrew Brouwer, PhD

University of Michigan

Matthew Carpenter, PhD

Medical University of South Carolina

Joseph McClernon, PhD

Duke University

Elias Klemperer, PhD

University of Vermont

Discussant: Megan Piper, PhD

University of Wisconsin

Predictors of transitions to and from dual use of cigarettes and e-cigarettes An overview of results from the Population Assessment of

Tobacco and Health and the Exhale Longitudinal Cohort

Nan Shi, Megan E. Piper, Timothy B. Baker, Todd Hayes-Birchler, Fatema Shafie-Khorassani, **Andrew F. Brouwer**

Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan

brouweaf@umich.edu

Funding

- This project was funded through National Cancer Institute (NCI) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) grants U54CA229974 and R01CA190025 and through National Institutes of Health (NIH) Analytical Chemistry Resource grants P30DA012393 and S10RR026437.
- The opinions expressed in this article are the authors' own and do not reflect the views of the National Institutes of Health, the Department of Health and Human Services or the US government.

Disclosures

sources:

the past 5 years:

Tobacco Industry Pharma Industry E-cigarette & nicotine product industry The work being presented has NO NO NO received funding or other means of support from any of the following Any of the authors have received NO NO NO funding (including consultancy) from any of the following sources in

Dual use of cigarettes and e-cigarettes

- Dual use of cigarettes and e-cigarettes is becoming increasingly prevalent
- High heterogeneity in *who* is dual using and *how* they are using (frequency, intensity)
- Can be a temporary state as a transition from cigarette smoking to e-cigarette-only use, but can be sustained use of both products
- Understanding transitions to and from dual use will improve our understanding of the impact of e-cigarettes on public and develop smoking cessation interventions



Transitions

Multistate transition models estimate underlying transition propensities (rates, hazards) assuming that a person's tobacco-use state over time can be approximated by a continuous-time, stochastic model.



Brouwer et al. (2020). *Tobacco Control.* Example code using PATH weights is available at *tcors.umich.edu* There are many competing transitions in product use that we need to account for.



ENDS = Electronic nicotine delivery system (e-cigarettes)

There are many competing transitions in product use that we need to account for.



... could be a **facilitator** of cigarette cessation or it could be a **barrier** to cessation among those using both products

> ENDS = Electronic nicotine delivery system (e-cigarettes)

Data

- Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health
 - 20-30k adults of any product use
 - 1–2-year follow-up
 - Nationally representative



- Exhale Longitudinal Cohort
 - 380 adult who smoked daily, with or without e-cigarettes
 - 2-month follow-up for 2 years



UW Center for **Tobacco Research and Intervention** UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Sociodemographic predictors of transitions to and from dual use (PATH 2013-17)

Associations with transitions from cigarette-only to dual use

- Non-Hispanic White race & ethnicity
- Younger ages



Brouwer et al. (2020). *Tobacco Control.* doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055967

Sociodemographic predictors of transitions to and from dual use (PATH 2013-17)

Associations with transitions from dual use

- Younger ages more likely to transition from dual to e-cigarette only use
- Lower income more likely to transition from dual to cigarette only use



Brouwer et al. (2020). *Tobacco Control.* doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055967

Trends in dual use transitions (PATH 2015-2022)

Dual use transitions



Only recently has the dual to e-cigarette transition caught up with the dual to cigarette transition.

Initially, most dual use was experimental, with most people transitioning back to cigarettes Increased persistence of dual use after 2018 (when nicotine salt use become more widespread)

Brouwer et al. In prep.

Trends in dual use transitions (PATH 2015-2022)

Trends in dual use transitions are driven by trends by age



Trends in dual use transitions (PATH 2015-2022)

Trends in dual use transitions are driven by trends by age



Predictors of transitions: dual to e-cigarette-only vs dual to cigarette-only in the Exhale study (2015–19)

- Factors can differentially affect dual to cigarette-only and dual to ecigarette-only use transitions
 - Many factors that reduce transitions back to cigarette-only use ALSO reduce cigarette cessation, thereby increasing persistence of dual use
- Taking *both* transitions into account, what are the strongest predictors of transitions from dual to cigarette-only vs. e-cigarette-only use?
 - To cigarette-only use:
 - 10+ cigarettes per day (vs <10)
 - High sensory/social/emotional dependence on cigarette (vs low)
 - To e-cigarette-only use
 - Low physiological dependence/compulsion for cigarette use (vs high)
 - Vaping every day (vs some days)
 - First product used in the morning is e-cigarette (≥50% of time vs <50% of the time)

Do specific motivations to use e-cigarettes matter?

	E-cigarette to dual use		Dual to cigarette-only use		Dual to e-cigarette-only	
	(N=27)		(N=259)		use (N=43)	
Motivation to use e-cigarettes	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
E-cigarettes might be less harmful to the people around me than regular cigarettes	0.26	(0.10, 0.72)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.80	(0.36, 1.77)
I am unable to stop using e-cigarettes	1.00	(0.47, 2.12)	0.41	(0.30, 0.56)	1.49	(0.84, 2.66)
They are affordable	1.06	(0.42, 2.66)	0.35	(0.28, 0.45)	1.00	(0.53, 1.87)
E-cigarettes are cheaper than smoking	0.71	(0.29, 1.69)	0.37	(0.29, 0.47)	0.97	(0.52, 1.80)
I can use e-cigarettes at times when or in places where smoking cigarettes isn't allowed	0.32	(0.11, 0.94)	0.33	(0.26, 0.43)	1.06	(0.47, 2.39)
To help with nicotine withdrawal symptoms when I can't smoke	0.62	(0.27, 1.42)	0.31	(0.24, 0.39)	0.73	(0.39, 1.37)
E-cigarettes come in flavors I like	1.04	(0.39, 2.76)	0.45	(0.35, 0.57)	1.65	(0.86, 3.15)
E-cigarettes might be less harmful to my health than regular cigarettes	0.32	(0.11, 0.95)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.84	(0.39, 1.84)
E-cigarettes may help me quit smoking cigarettes	0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.97	(0.46, 2.05)
E-cigarette is less toxic than tobacco	0.31	(0.10, 0.94)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.93	(0.43, 2.02)
Using an e-cigarette feels like smoking a regular cigarette	0.89	(0.39, 2.04)	0.48	(0.37, 0.61)	1.43	(0.77, 2.63)
People in the media or other public figures use e-cigarettes	2.71	(0.65, 11.3)	1.09	(0.73, 1.63)	0.78	(0.22, 2.83)
To avoid bothering others with cigarette smoke	0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.34	(0.27, 0.44)	1.05	(0.49, 2.21)
To completely quit smoking regular cigarettes	0.44	(0.15, 1.30)	0.35	(0.27, 0.44)	1.34	(0.63, 2.84)
To cut down on the amount of cigarette smoking	0.45	(0.15, 1.33)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.98	(0.45, 2.17)
People who are important to me use an e-cigarette	0.84	(0.32, 2.21)	0.77	(0.54, 1.09)	0.77	(0.34, 1.74)
E-cigarettes don't smell	0.65	(0.22, 1.92)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	1.05	(0.50, 2.18)
To avoid having to go outside to smoke	0.44	(0.15, 1.31)	0.39	(0.31, 0.50)	1.27	(0.59, 2.75)
I like socializing while using an e-cigarette	1.59	(0.75, 3.36)	0.70	(0.52, 0.93)	1.53	(0.83, 2.80)
I prefer the taste of an e-cigarette	0.50	(0.17, 1.49)	0.41	(0.32, 0.53)	1.92	(0.92, 4.01)

Do specific motivations to use e-cigarettes matter?

	E-cigarette to dual use		Dual to ci	garette-only use	Dual to e-cigarette-only		
	(N=27)		(N=259)	use (N=43)		
Motivation to use e-cigarettes		HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
E-cigarettes might be less harmful to the people around me than regular cigarettes		0.26	(0.10, 0.72)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.80	(0.36, 1.77)
I am unable to stop using e-cigarettes		1.00	(0.47, 2.12)	0.41	(0.30, 0.56)	1.49	(0.84, 2.66)
The second secon		1.06	(0.42, 2.66)	0.35	(0.28, 0.45)	1.00	(0.53, 1.87)
E-		0.71	(0.29, 1.69)	0.37	(0.29, 0.47)	0.97	(0.52, 1.80)
	es isn't all owed	0.32	(0.11, 0.94)	0.33	(0.26, 0.43)	1.06	(0.47, 2.39)
res, nealth-based		0.62	(0.27, 1.42)	0.31	(0.24, 0.39)	0.73	(0.39, 1.37)
E-		1.04	(0.39, 2.76)	0.45	(0.35, 0.57)	1.65	(0.86, 3.15)
El motivations reduce		0.32	(0.11, 0.95)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.84	(0.39, 1.84)
E-		0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.97	(0.46, 2.05)
cigarette smoking relapse		0.31	(0.10, 0.94)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.93	(0.43, 2.02)
		0.89	(0.39, 2.04)	0.48	(0.37, 0.61)	1.43	(0.77, 2.63)
Pe		2.71	(0.65, 11.3)	1.09	(0.73, 1.63)	0.78	(0.22, 2.83)
among those using e-		0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.34	(0.27, 0.44)	1.05	(0.49, 2.21)
Tq C C		0.44	(0.15, 1.30)	0.35	(0.27, 0.44)	1.34	(0.63, 2.84)
d cigarettes only		0.45	(0.15, 1.33)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.98	(0.45, 2.17)
		0.84	(0.32, 2.21)	0.77	(0.54, 1.09)	0.77	(0.34, 1.74)
E-		0.65	(0.22, 1.92)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	1.05	(0.50, 2.18)
To avoid having to go outside to smoke	l	0.44	(0.15, 1.31)	0.39	(0.31, 0.50)	1.27	(0.59, 2.75)
I like socializing while using an e-cigarette		1.59	(0.75, 3.36)	0.70	(0.52, 0.93)	1.53	(0.83, 2.80)
I prefer the taste of an e-cigarette		0.50	(0.17, 1.49)	0.41	(0.32, 0.53)	1.92	(0.92, 4.01)

Do specific motivations to use e-cigarettes matter?

		E-cigarette to dual use		Dual to cigarette-only use		Dual to e-cigarette-only	
		(N=27)		(N=259)		use (N=43)	
Motivation to use e-cigarettes		HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
E-cigarettes might be less harmful to the people around me than regular cigarettes		0.26	(0.10, 0.72)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.80	(0.36, 1.77)
I am unable to stop using e-cigarettes		1.00	(0.47, 2.12)	0.41	(0.30, 0.56)	1.49	(0.84, 2.66)
Tim	7	1.06	(0.42, 2.66)	0.35	(0.28, 0.45)	1.00	(0.53, 1.87)
E		0.71	(0.29, 1.69)	0.37	(0.29, 0.47)	0.97	(0.52, 1.80)
No. almost any motivation	es isn't allowed	0.32	(0.11, 0.94)	0.33	(0.26, 0.43)	1.06	(0.47, 2.39)
Tc		0.62	(0.27, 1.42)	0.31	(0.24, 0.39)	0.73	(0.39, 1.37)
E reduces transitions from		1.0/	(0.30, 2.76)	0.45	(0.35, 0.57)	1.65	(0.86, 3.15)
		0.32	(0.11, 0.95)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.84	(0.39, 1.84)
^{E-} dual to cigarette-only use		0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.97	(0.46, 2.05)
		0.31	(0.10, 0.94)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.93	(0.43, 2.02)
U		0.89	(0.39, 2.04)	0.48	(0.37, 0.61)	1.43	(0.77, 2.63)
People in the media or other public figures use e-cigarettes		2.71	(0.65, 11.3)	1.09	(0.73, 1.63)	0.78	(0.22, 2.83)
To avoid bothering others with cigarette smoke		0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.34	(0.27, 0.44)	1.05	(0.49, 2.21)
To completely quit smoking regular cigarettes		0.44	(0.15, 1.30)	0.35	(0.27, 0.44)	1.34	(0.63, 2.84)
To cut down on the amount of cigarette smoking		0.45	(0.15, 1.33)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.98	(0.45, 2.17)
People who are important to me use an e-cigarette		0.84	(0.32, 2.21)	0.77	(0.54, 1.09)	0.77	(0.34, 1.74)
E-cigarettes don't smell		0.65	(0.22, 1.92)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	1.05	(0.50, 2.18)
To avoid having to go outside to smoke		0.44	(0.15, 1.31)	0.39	(0.31, 0.50)	1.27	(0.59, 2.75)
I like socializing while using an e-cigarette		1.59	(0.75, 3.36)	0.70	(0.52, 0.93)	1.53	(0.83, 2.80)
I prefer the taste of an e-cigarette		0.50	(0.17, 1.49)	0.41	(0.32, 0.53)	1.92	(0.92, 4.01)

Do specific motivations to use e-cigarettes matter?

		E-cigarette to dual use		Dual to cigarette-only use		Dual to e-cigarette-only	
		(N=27)		(N=259)		use (N=43)	
Motivation to use e-cigarettes		HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
E-cigarettes might be less harmful to the people around me than regular cigarettes		0.26	(0.10, 0.72)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.80	(0.36, 1.77)
I am unable to stop using e-cigarettes		1.00	(0.47, 2.12)	0.41	(0.30, 0.56)	1.49	(0.84, 2.66)
Tip		1.06	(0.42, 2.66)	0.35	(0.28, 0.45)	1.00	(0.53, 1.87)
		0.71	(0.29, 1.69)	0.37	(0.29, 0.47)	0.97	(0.52, 1.80)
INO, NO MOTIVATION Predicts es	s isn't allowed	0.32	(0.11, 0.94)	0.33	(0.26, 0.43)	1.06	(0.47, 2.39)
Te		0.62	(0.27, 1.42)	0.31	(0.24, 0.39)	0.73	(0.39, 1.37)
E cigarette cessation from		1.04	(0.39, 2.76)	0.45	(0 25, 0 57)	1.65	(0.86, 3.15)
		0.32	(0.11, 0.95)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.84	(0.39, 1.84)
E- dualuca		0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.97	(0.46, 2.05)
E- Guaruse		0.31	(0.10, 0.94)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	0.93	(0.43, 2.02)
Us		0.89	(0.39, 2.04)	0.48	(0.37, 0.61)	1.43	(0.77, 2.63)
People in the media or other public figures use e-cigarettes		2.71	(0.65, 11.3)	1.09	(0.73, 1.63)	0.78	(0.22, 2.83)
To avoid bothering others with cigarette smoke		0.45	(0.15, 1.32)	0.34	(0.27, 0.44)	1.05	(0.49, 2.21)
To completely quit smoking regular cigarettes		0.44	(0.15, 1.30)	0.35	(0.27, 0.44)	1.34	(0.63, 2.84)
To cut down on the amount of cigarette smoking		0.45	(0.15, 1.33)	0.27	(0.21, 0.35)	0.98	(0.45, 2.17)
People who are important to me use an e-cigarette		0.84	(0.32, 2.21)	0.77	(0.54, 1.09)	0.77	(0.34, 1.74)
E-cigarettes don't smell		0.65	(0.22, 1.92)	0.32	(0.25, 0.41)	1.05	(0.50, 2.18)
To avoid having to go outside to smoke		0.44	(0.15, 1.31)	0.39	(0.31, 0.50)	1.27	(0.59, 2.75)
I like socializing while using an e-cigarette		1.59	(0.75, 3.36)	0.70	(0.52, 0.93)	1.53	(0.83, 2.80)
I prefer the taste of an e-cigarette		0.50	(0.17, 1.49)	0.41	(0.32, 0.53)	1.92	(0.92, 4.01)

Biomarker predictors of dual use transitions in the Exhale study (2015–19)

- The ratio of NNAL (a tobacco smoke biomarker) to nicotine equivalents 2 (cotinine + hydroxycotinine) may be indicative how much of one's nicotine intake comes from cigarettes vs e-cigarettes
- Higher ratio: more likely to transition from dual to cigarette-only use
- Lower ratio: more likely to transition from dual to e-cigarette-only use





Brouwer et al. Under review.

Biomarker predictors of dual use transitions in the Exhale study (2015–19)



Low ratio: more nicotine from e-cigarette

- Higher persistence of dual use
- Reduced transitions to cigarette-only use
- Higher transitions to e-cigarette-only use

Higher ratio: more nicotine from cigarette

- Lower persistence of dual use
- High reversion to cigarette-only use
- Negligible transitions to e-cigarette-only use

Brouwer et al. Under review.

The Wisconsin Inventory of Smoking Dependence Motives (WISDM) and it's e-cigarette equivalent (e-WISDM) measure dependence across multiple motivational domains

- Primary motives (physical dependence, compulsion to use)
- Secondary motives (sensory, social, and emotional dependence)

Participants with lower dependence on their cigarette (lower WISDM scores) were less likely to transition from cigarette-only use to dual use.



Shi et al. In preparation.

Cigarette dependence (WISDM) was largely not predictive of transitions for participants using both cigarettes and e-cigarettes, although transitions to e-cigarette-only use decreased substantially for high dependence scores.



Shi et al. In preparation.

Dependence on e-cigarettes (e-WISDM) was only associated with transitions from dual use at the highest levels of dependence, with high dependence associated with dual use persistence



Take-aways

- Persistence of dual use is changing with the marketplace. Trends in dual use transitions are largely driven by trends for young adults.
- Factors that discourage transitions from dual use back to cigaretteonly use also tend to encourage dual use persistence rather than smoking cessation.
- Relative product use is emerging as an important predictor of transitions from dual use.
- Limitation: e-cigarette products are quickly changing, and many these studies were prior to the widespread adoption of nicotine salt ecigarettes

Broader implications and questions

Dual use paradox. Those successfully transitioning from dual to ecigarette use tend to use their e-cigarette more than cigarettes, but higher dependence on the e-cigarette is also associated with higher persistence of dual use. Is it possible to thread the needle?

Personalized approaches. Can we leverage behavior, biomarker, and product-specific dependence to develop personalized cigarette cessation approaches?

Changes in the marketplace. The introduction of nicotine salt ecigarettes appears to have affected transition patterns. How will other marketplace changes affect transitions in the future?

Acknowledgments

PATH Analyses

- Rafael Meza
- Jihyoun Jeon
- Evelyn Jimenez-Mendoza
- Ritesh Mistry
- David Levy
- Jeremy Taylor
- Stephanie Land
- And others!



Exhale Analyses

- Megan Piper
- Timothy Baker
- Douglas Jorenby
- Fatema Shafie-Khorassani
- Nan Shi
- Neal Benowitz
- Todd Hayes-Birchler



Questions?

